OMB Approval #: 2700-0042 1. CONTRACT ID CODE PAGE OF PAGES AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT 1 3 2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 3. EFFECTIVE DATE 4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. 5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable) See Block 16C 01 42007191172 6. ISSUED BY CODE 7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) CODE BT / JPI NASA Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center Attn: Bradley J. Niese, Mail Code BT 2101 NASA Parkway Houston, TX 77058 8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State, and Zip Code) 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. (x) NNJ07191172R X 9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) TO ALL INTERESTED OFFERORS 07/25/2007 10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO. 10B. DATED (SECE ITEM16c) CODE FACILITY CODE THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS 11. The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers is extended, is not extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning one (1) copy of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified. 12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required) THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14. A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN (x) THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A. B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b) C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF: D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority) E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not, is required to sign this document and return \_\_\_\_ copies to the issuing office. 14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) The purpose of Amendment 01 is to: 1. Provide responses to questions received from Industry on the Orion Project Integration Contract (OPIC) final Request for Proposal (RFP) issued July 25, 2007. See page 2 for questions and answers. Provide clarifications and corrections to administrative errors in the final RFP in the following sections: Section L, Provisions L.18 (Pages L-11, L-12, & L-13), L.19 (Page L-14), L.22 (Page L-20), and L.24 (Page L-25) Section J-1, Pages J-1-4, J-1-7, J-1-16 and J-1-18 Section J-2, DRD-PR-03 (Page J-2-31) This Amendment re-issues a new Section J-1, Section J-2 and Section L to incorporate all RFP changes made by the Amendment. Areas of change are indicated with track changes on and vertical change bars in the margin. Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect. 16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) 15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)

(Signature of person authorized to sign)
NSN 7540-01-152-8070
PREVIOUS EDITION UNUSABLE

15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR

30-105

15C. DATE SIGNED

Susan D. Starkweather Contracting Officer

16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

(Signature of Contracting Officer)

STANDARD FORM 30 (Rev. 10-83)

16C. DATE SIGNED

Prescribed by GSA FAR (48 CFR) 53.243

## Questions and Comments on the Orion Project Integration Contract (OPIC) Final Request for Proposals

- Question: Section L.18.(c) on page L-13 requests that offerors "shall submit all proposal information on CD-ROM."
  Could the government please provide the following clarifications:
  - a. How many CD-ROMs should be submitted?
  - b. Should CD-ROMs be submitted with the August 27 submission?
  - c. If a CD-ROM is to be submitted with the August 27 submission, does the government want the CD-ROM(s) submitted on September 10 to contain all proposal files, or just those submitted on September 10?

**Response:** Offerors shall provide two (2) copies of each CD-ROM submittal to the Government. CD-ROM submittals shall be provided with both the August 27<sup>th</sup> and September 10<sup>th</sup> submittals. Additionally, Offerors do not need to resubmit August 27<sup>th</sup> proposal information with the September 10th submittal.

2. Question: Section L.18.(c), page L-13, states that 10 copies of the Completed Model Contract and Signed SF33 should be submitted on September 10. Section L.19.(a), page L-14, states that "three (3) signed copies of the completed Contract (including three (3) signed original Standard Form 33's)" should be submitted. Does the government want 10 total copies, three of which are originals and seven of which are duplications?

**Response:** Offerors shall submit ten (10) total copies of the completed Model Contract, including the Signed SF33. Of the ten (10) copies, at least three (3) of the SF33s shall be original signature copies and the remaining seven (7) can be either original signature or duplication.

3. Question: The term "Change Notice" is used in Section J-1, Paragraph 1.1.3.A.4, Page J-1-7, but the usage is not defined in CxP 72008-ANX01 or CxP 72110. We have encountered "change notices" used as Document Change Notices (DCNs), as official notice of approved changes for implementation, and for status updates of Change Request (CR) processing through the lifecycle; each of these is processed and managed differently. Could you please define the term "Change Notice" and its intended usage?

**Response:** A Change Notice as used in Attachment J-1 is the term being used to satisfy the change disposition documentation as described in Applicable Document CxP 72008 ANX01, section 6.1.4.

4. Question: Section J-1, Paragraph 1.1.3.A.6, Page J-1-7, states that the contractor shall co-chair the Configuration Management (CM) Panel as defined in CxP 72008-ANX01. However, that document neither contains nor defines a CM Panel. It lists the CPCB and the change screening group, but not a CM panel for hardware and software certification audits. Please provide details regarding the panel operations, including who the contractor co-chairs the panel with and division of responsibilities.

Response: SOW Section J-1, Paragraph 1.1.3.A.6 will be modified to delete references to the CM Panel.

5. Question: In Section J-1, Paragraph 1.10.3.A.4, Page J-1-18, the term "Verification Activity Implementation Networks" is capitalized as a special term, but a definition for this term does not appear in the documentation provided in the Technical Library. Could you please provide a definition for this term?

**Response:** Verification Activity Implementation Network is defined as a representation (graphical, tabular, or database) of the end-to-end, logical relationships (dependencies) between verification tasks, activities, and events.

6. Question: There are discrepancies between the hours listed in the table in L.24(b), page L-25, and the hours on the ISCT worksheet of Attachment L-3, Excel Pricing Model Templates, page L-3-5. The discrepancy is shown in the table below:

Labor Category	L.24(b) Table Hours	Excel Pricing Model Template Hours
Information Technology II	1395	1860
Information Technology I	1395	1860
Safety	1860	930

Which numbers should be used for the above SLCs?

**Response:** The hours in the Excel Pricing Model Template are correct. The table in L.24(b), page L-25 of the final RFP, is updated to reflect the Excel pricing model template hours.

7. Question: SOW Section 1.1.1.1.B, under Deliverables, still references DRD OPIC-PR-04: Property Financial Reporting. OPIC-PR-04 was deleted from both the DRL and DRD in the final RFP. Should this SOW requirement also be deleted?

Response: SOW Section 1.1.1.1.B is updated to delete reference to DRD OPIC-PR-04 which has been deleted.

8. Question: Sections L.18(b), Page Limitations, and L.22, Predefined Qualitative Characteristics (QC's). The QC Matrix, to be included in Part 9 of Volume I, is included in the 50- page limitation for Volume I. This appears to mean that the more QC's that are included in a proposal, the larger the matrix grows, and the fewer pages remain in Volume I for the Technical Approach and Scenarios. Can the QC Matrix itself be excluded from the page count limitation?

**Response:** Yes, the final RFP has been updated to exclude the Predefined Qualitative Characteristics Matrix from the 50-page limitation for Volume I.

## The following clarifications have also been updated as part of this amendment:

- 1. The table in section L.18 on page L-11 lists Volume I, Part 1, Subpart (c) as "Proposal Scenario 2 (Vehicle Integration Office)" and Subpart (d) as "Proposal Scenario 3 (Test & Verification)." This contradicts the organization listed in Section L.21, Subsection (c), entitled "Proposal Scenario 2 (Test & Verification)" and Subsection (d), entitled "Proposal Scenario 3 (Vehicle Integration Office)," both of which are on page L-17. The table in Section L.18 has been updated to reflect the organization of Section L.21.
- 2. Section J-1, Section 1.6.1.2.A, page J-1-16: The requirements are numbered in the following sequence: 1, 6, 2, 3, 4. The requirements of Section 1.6.1.2.A have been renumbered in correct sequential order.
- 3. DRD OPIC-PR-03 has been updated as follows:

Item (i) has been revised to read as follows: "Safeguards for ensuring sensitive information coming into the Contractor's possession is used only for the purposes of performing the services specified in this contract, and not to alter the Contractor's competitive position in another procurement."

A new item (viii) has been included as follows: "Safeguards and or mitigation for any other situation unique to the offeror's circumstances that involves access to sensitive information."